

# $\Gamma$ -Ultrametric Spaces and Separated Presheaves

Nathanael Leedom Ackerman  
University of California, Berkeley

# $\Gamma$ -Ultrametric Spaces

## Definition

A partial order  $(P, \leq)$  is a *complete lattice* if it has all infima. We denote the infimum of two elements  $a$  and  $b$  by  $a \wedge b$  and their supremum by  $a \vee b$  (and similarly for infinite infima and suprema)

## Theorem

*Any complete lattice is cocomplete (i.e. has all suprema).*

## Definition

A partial order  $(P, \leq)$  is a *complete lattice* if it has all infima. We denote the infimum of two elements  $a$  and  $b$  by  $a \wedge b$  and their supremum by  $a \vee b$  (and similarly for infinite infima and suprema).

## Theorem

*Any complete lattice is cocomplete (i.e. has all suprema).*

## Example

If  $T$  is a topological space with  $\mathcal{O}(T)$  as the collection of open sets, then  $\mathcal{O}(T)$  is a complete lattice.

## Definition

Let  $(\Gamma, \leq, 0)$  be a complete lattice with minimal element 0. A  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric space is a pair  $(M, d_M)$  such that

- $M$  is a set and  $d_M : M \times M \rightarrow \Gamma$ .
- (Reflexivity)  $(\forall x, y \in M) d_M(x, y) = 0 \leftrightarrow x = y$
- (Symmetry)  $(\forall x, y \in M) d_M(x, y) = d_M(y, x)$
- (Strong Triangle Inequality)  
 $(\forall x, y, z \in M) d_M(x, y) \vee d_M(y, z) \geq d_M(x, z)$

## Definition

Let  $(\Gamma, \leq, 0)$  be a complete lattice with minimal element 0. A  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric space is a pair  $(M, d_M)$  such that

- $M$  is a set and  $d_M : M \times M \rightarrow \Gamma$ .
- (Reflexivity)  $(\forall x, y \in M) d_M(x, y) = 0 \leftrightarrow x = y$
- (Symmetry)  $(\forall x, y \in M) d_M(x, y) = d_M(y, x)$
- (Strong Triangle Inequality)  
 $(\forall x, y, z \in M) d_M(x, y) \vee d_M(y, z) \geq d_M(x, z)$

A *non-expanding* map between  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric spaces  $(M, d_M)$  and  $(N, d_N)$  is a function  $f : M \rightarrow N$  such that

$$(\forall a, b \in M) d(f(a), f(b)) \leq d(a, b)$$

This gives us a category  $\Gamma$ -**UltMet** whose objects are  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric spaces and whose morphisms are the non-expanding maps.

# Example of $\mathbb{R}$ -Ultrametric spaces

## Example

For any  $x, y \in \omega^\omega$  we can define

- $d(x, y) = \frac{1}{\min\{n : x(n) \neq y(n)\}}$  if  $x \neq y$
- $d(x, y) = 0$  if  $x = y$ .

Then  $(\omega^\omega, d)$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -Ultrametric space.

Notice that the only values of  $\mathbb{R}$  which are realized as distances are those of the form  $\frac{1}{n}$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Hence  $(\omega^\omega, d)$  is also a  $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})^{op}$ -Ultrametric space (where  $(\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})^{op}$  is the lattice with the same elements as  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  but the opposite order).

## Example ( $p$ -adic norm)

If  $p$  is a prime number we define  $|\cdot|_p$ , the  $p$ -adic norm, as follows

- $|0|_p = 0$
- If  $q = p^n \cdot \frac{a}{b}$  where  $p$  doesn't divide  $a$  or  $b$  then  $|q| = \frac{1}{p^n}$

For all  $q_0, q_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$  let  $d_p(q_0, q_1) = |q_0 - q_1|_p$ . Then  $(\mathbb{Q}, d_p)$  is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -ultrametric space.



## Definition

For each  $x \in M, \gamma \in \Gamma$  we define the *(closed) ball of radius  $\gamma$  around  $x$*  to be

$$B^M(x, \gamma) = \{y : d_M(x, y) \leq \gamma\}$$

We will omit mention of  $M$  when it is clear from context.

## Definition

If  $A \subseteq M$  the *diameter of  $A$*  is  $\text{diam}(A) = \bigvee \{d_M(x, y) : x, y \in A\}$ .

The following lemmas are immediate.

Lemma

$$(\forall \gamma \in \Gamma, x, y \in M) x \in B(y, \gamma) \leftrightarrow B(x, \gamma) = B(y, \gamma)$$

Lemma

$$(\forall \gamma_x, \gamma_y \in \Gamma)(\forall x, y \in M) \gamma_x \leq \gamma_y \rightarrow B(x, \gamma_x) \subseteq B(y, \gamma_y) \text{ or } B(x, \gamma_x) \cap B(y, \gamma_y) = \emptyset.$$

# Intersection of Balls

## Theorem

Suppose

- $\alpha = \bigwedge \{\gamma_i : i \in I\}$  and  $\{x_i : i \in I\} \subseteq M$
- $B = \bigcap_{i \in I} B(x_i, \gamma_i) \neq \emptyset$

Then  $(\forall x \in B) B = B(x, \alpha)$ .

## Proof.

Suppose  $x \in B$ . Then  $(\forall i \in I) x \in B(x_i, \gamma_i)$  and so  $B(x, \gamma_i) = B(x_i, \gamma_i)$ . Hence  $(\forall i \in I) B \subseteq B(x, \gamma_i)$  and  $\text{diam}(B) \leq \gamma_i$ . Therefore  $\text{diam}(B) \leq \alpha$  and in particular  $B \subseteq B(x, \alpha)$ .

But we also have  $(\forall i \in I) B(x, \alpha) \subseteq B(x, \gamma_i)$  and so  $B(x, \alpha) \subseteq B$ . □

# Relative Distance Preserving Maps

While much of our focus will be on  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric spaces for a specific  $\Gamma$ , it will at times be useful to be able to compare generalized ultrametric spaces with different sets of distances.

## Definition

If  $(M, d_M)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric space and  $(N, d_N)$  is an  $\Lambda$ -ultrametric space we say a map  $f : M \rightarrow N$  is *relative distance preserving* if for all  $a, b, c, d \in M$ ,

$$d_M(a, b) \leq d_M(c, d) \rightarrow d_N(f(a), f(b)) \leq d_N(f(c), f(d))$$

We let **GenUltMet** be the category whose objects are  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric spaces for some  $\Gamma$  and whose morphisms are the relative distance preserving maps.

# Spherically Complete

An important property that  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric spaces may have is what is called spherical completeness. This is the  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric analog of completeness for metric spaces.

## Definition

A  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric space  $(M, d_M)$  is *spherically complete* if whenever

- $\{\gamma_i : i < \kappa\} \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $\{x_i : i < \kappa\} \subseteq M$
- $B(\gamma_i, x_i) \subseteq B(\gamma_j, x_j)$  if  $i \geq j$ .

Then  $\bigcap_{i < \kappa} B(\gamma_i, x_i) \neq \emptyset$ .

We define **SComp**( $\Gamma$ ) to be the full subcategory of  $\Gamma$ -**UltMet** whose objects are spherically complete.

## Theorem

Suppose  $(M, d_M)$  is a spherically complete  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric space and

- $\{\gamma_i : i < \kappa\} \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $\{x_i : i < \kappa\} \subseteq M$
- $B(\gamma_i, x_i) \subseteq B(\gamma_j, x_j)$  if  $i \geq j$ .

is a decreasing chain of closed balls. Then for all

$$x \in \bigcap_{i < \kappa} B(\gamma_i, x_i)$$

$$B\left(\bigwedge_{i < \kappa} \gamma_i, x\right) = \bigcap_{i < \kappa} B(\gamma_i, x_i)$$

## Theorem

Suppose  $(M, d_M)$  is a spherically complete  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric space and

- $\{\gamma_i : i < \kappa\} \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $\{x_i : i < \kappa\} \subseteq M$
- $B(\gamma_i, x_i) \subseteq B(\gamma_j, x_j)$  if  $i \geq j$ .

is a decreasing chain of closed balls. Then for all

$$x \in \bigcap_{i < \kappa} B(\gamma_i, x_i)$$

$$B\left(\bigwedge_{i < \kappa} \gamma_i, x\right) = \bigcap_{i < \kappa} B(\gamma_i, x_i)$$

# Review of Category Theory



# Functors and Natural Transformations

## Definition

Suppose  $C, D$  are categories. A *functor*  $F : C \rightarrow D$  is a map such that

- $(\forall c \in \text{obj}(C)) F(c) \in \text{obj}(D)$
- $(\forall f \in \text{morph}(C))$  if  $f : A \rightarrow B$  then  $F(f) : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$ .
- $(\forall f, g \in \text{morph}(C)) F(f \circ g) = F(f) \circ F(g)$ .

# Functors and Natural Transformations

## Definition

Suppose  $C, D$  are categories. A *functor*  $F : C \rightarrow D$  is a map such that

- $(\forall c \in \text{obj}(C)) F(c) \in \text{obj}(D)$
- $(\forall f \in \text{morph}(C))$  if  $f : A \rightarrow B$  then  $F(f) : F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$ .
- $(\forall f, g \in \text{morph}(C)) F(f \circ g) = F(f) \circ F(g)$ .

## Definition

Suppose  $F, G : C \rightarrow D$  are functors. A *natural transformation*  $\alpha : F \Rightarrow G$  is a sequence of maps

- $(\forall A \in \text{obj}(C)) \alpha_A : F(A) \rightarrow G(A)$
- $(\forall g : A \rightarrow B, g \in \text{morph}(C)) G(g) \circ \alpha_A = \alpha_B \circ F(g)$

This then gives us a category  $\mathbf{Cat}[C, D]$  whose objects are functors and whose maps are natural transformations.

## Definition

Suppose  $F, G : C \rightarrow D$  and  $\alpha : F \Rightarrow G$  is a natural transformation. We say that  $\alpha$  is a *natural isomorphism* if either of the following equivalent conditions hold

- For each  $c \in \text{obj}(C)$ ,  $\alpha_c$  is an isomorphism.
- There is a natural transformation  $\alpha^{-1} : G \Rightarrow F$  such that  $\alpha \circ \alpha^{-1} = id_G$  and  $\alpha^{-1} \circ \alpha = id_F$

# Equivalence of Categories

## Definition

If  $F : C \rightarrow D$ ,  $G : D \rightarrow C$  are functors, we say that  $F$  and  $G$  are each *equivalences of categories* if there are natural isomorphisms

- $\alpha : F \circ G \Rightarrow id_C$
- $\beta : G \circ F \Rightarrow id_D$

# Equivalence of Categories

## Definition

If  $F : C \rightarrow D$ ,  $G : D \rightarrow C$  are functors, we say that  $F$  and  $G$  are each *equivalences of categories* if there are natural isomorphisms

- $\alpha : F \circ G \Rightarrow id_C$
- $\beta : G \circ F \Rightarrow id_D$

## Theorem

A functor  $F : C \rightarrow D$  is an equivalence of categories if and only if

- $F$  is full and faithful. I.e. For all objects  $A, B \in \text{obj}(C)$ ,  $F : C[A, B] \rightarrow D[F(A), F(B)]$  is an isomorphism.
- For all  $A \in \text{obj}(D)$  there is a  $B \in \text{obj}(C)$  such that  $F(B) \cong A$

# Equivalence of Categories

## Definition

If  $F : C \rightarrow D$ ,  $G : D \rightarrow C$  are functors, we say that  $F$  and  $G$  are each *equivalences of categories* if there are natural isomorphisms

- $\alpha : F \circ G \Rightarrow id_C$
- $\beta : G \circ F \Rightarrow id_D$

## Theorem

A functor  $F : C \rightarrow D$  is an equivalence of categories if and only if

- $F$  is full and faithful. I.e. For all objects  $A, B \in \text{obj}(C)$ ,  $F : C[A, B] \rightarrow D[F(A), F(B)]$  is an isomorphism.
- For all  $A \in \text{obj}(D)$  there is a  $B \in \text{obj}(C)$  such that  $F(B) \cong A$

## Example

Let  $Card : SET \rightarrow ORD$  be the functor which takes all sets to their cardinality. Then  $Card$  is an equivalence of categories.



## Definition

We say that a functor  $F : C \rightarrow D$  is *Left Adjoint* to  $G : D \rightarrow C$  (and  $G$  is *Right Adjoint* to  $F$ ), written  $F \dashv G$ , if for any  $X \in \text{obj}(C)$  and  $Y \in \text{obj}(D)$  maps from  $F(Y)$  to  $X$  are (naturally isomorphic) to maps from  $Y$  to  $G(X)$  (i.e.  $C[F(Y), X] \cong D[Y, G(X)]$ )

# Adjoint Example

## Example

Let  $Forget : \mathbf{Group} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  be the (forgetful) functor which takes a group and returns the underlying set (i.e. forgets the group structure). Let  $Free : \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Group}$  be the functor which assigns to any set its free group.

Then  $Free$  is left adjoint to  $Forget$  ( $Forget \dashv Free$ )

We can think of an adjoint functor as a functor which takes an object in one category and returns the “best approximation” to that object in another.



# Monomorphisms and Epimorphisms

## Definition

We say a map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a *monomorphism* if for any  $g, h : D \rightarrow A$

$$f \circ g = f \circ h \Rightarrow g = h$$

Monomorphisms are the categorical analog of injective maps.

## Definition

We say a map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is an *epimorphism* if for any  $g, h : B \rightarrow D$

$$g \circ f = h \circ f \Rightarrow g = h$$

Epimorphisms are the categorical analog of surjective maps.

# Epi-Mono Factorization

## Definition

We say a category has *epi-mono factorization* if for every map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  there are maps  $f_e : A \rightarrow Im$  and  $f_m : Im \rightarrow B$  such that

- $f_e$  is an epimorphism.
- $f_m$  is a monomorphism.
- $f = f_m \circ f_e$
- If  $f = g_m \circ g_e$  where  $g_m$  is a monomorphism and  $g_e$  is an epimorphism, then there is an isomorphism  $i$  such that  $g_m = f_m \circ i$  and  $g_e = i^{-1} \circ f_e$ .

We call  $Im$  the *image* of  $f$ .

## Example

The category **Set** has epi-mono factorization.

## Definition

Suppose  $f : A \rightarrow B, g : A' \rightarrow B$  are monomorphisms. We say that  $f \leq g$  if there is a map  $h : A \rightarrow A'$  such that  $h \circ f = g$ .

## Definition

A subobject of  $B$  is an equivalence class of maps  $[f]$  into  $B$  under

$$f \sim g \Leftrightarrow f \leq g \text{ and } g \leq f$$

We denote by  $Sub(B)$  the collection of subobjects of  $B$  with the induced order.

Subobjects are the categorical analog of subsets.

## Definition

A *partial order* is a category  $C$  such that for any two objects  $A, B \in \text{obj}(C)$

- There is at most one map in  $C[A, B]$  and
- If  $C[A, B]$  and  $C[B, A]$  are both non-empty then  $A = B$

# Sheaves and Presheaves

# Presheaves on a Complete Lattice

## Definition

Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a complete lattice (considered as a category). A *presheaf* on  $\Gamma$  is a functor from  $\Gamma^{op}$  into the category of sets.

If  $F : \Gamma^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  is a presheaf,  $a \in F(U)$  and  $i : V \rightarrow U$  (i.e.  $V \leq U$ ) we will write  $a|_V$  for  $F(i)(a)$  (which we call the restriction of  $a$  to  $V$ ).

We let  $\mathbf{PreSh}(\Gamma)$  be the category of preheaves on  $\Gamma$  along with natural transformations.

## Example

An example of a sheaf on  $\mathbb{R}$  is the collection  $C$  of functions from  $\mathbb{R}$  to a set  $X$ . That is

- $C(U) = \{f : U \rightarrow X\}$  if  $U$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- If  $f \in C(V)$  and  $U \subseteq V$  then  $f|_U$  is the function whose domain is  $U$  and which agrees with  $f$  on its domain.

## Definition

A presheaf  $F$  on  $\Gamma$  is a *sheaf* if whenever

- $U = \bigvee_{i \in I} U_i$
- $a_i \in F(U_i)$  for all  $i \in I$
- $a_i|_{U_i \wedge U_j} = a_j|_{U_i \wedge U_j}$  for all  $i, j \in I$

then there is a unique element  $a \in F(U)$  such that  $a|_{U_i} = a_i$  for all  $i \in I$ .

We let **Sheaf**( $\Gamma$ ) be the full subcategory of **PreSh**( $\Gamma$ ) consisting of sheaves.



# Separated Presheaves

Suppose  $F$  is a presheaf on  $\Gamma$ . Given

- $U = \bigvee_{i \in I} U_i$
- $a_i \in F(U_i)$  for all  $i \in I$
- $a_i|_{U_i \wedge U_j} = a_j|_{U_i \wedge U_j}$  for all  $i, j \in I$

There are two ways that it can fail the sheaf condition

- (1) There are no elements  $a \in F(U)$  such that  $(\forall i)a|_{U_i} = a_i$ .
- (2) There are two distinct  $a, a' \in F(U)$  such that  $(\forall i)a|_{U_i} = a_i = a'|_{U_i}$ .

# Separated Presheaves

Suppose  $F$  is a presheaf on  $\Gamma$ . Given

- $U = \bigvee_{i \in I} U_i$
- $a_i \in F(U_i)$  for all  $i \in I$
- $a_i|_{U_i \wedge U_j} = a_j|_{U_i \wedge U_j}$  for all  $i, j \in I$

There are two ways that it can fail the sheaf condition

- (1) There are no elements  $a \in F(U)$  such that  $(\forall i)a|_{U_i} = a_i$ .
- (2) There are two distinct  $a, a' \in F(U)$  such that  $(\forall i)a|_{U_i} = a_i = a'|_{U_i}$ .

## Definition

A presheaf  $F$  is *separated* if condition (2) never happens. We let  $\mathbf{Sep}(\Gamma)$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{PreSh}(\Gamma)$  consisting of separated presheaves.

## Definition

If  $A$  and  $B$  are sheaves on  $\Gamma$  then we say  $A$  is a *subsheaf* of  $B$  ( $A \subseteq B$ ) if  $A(V) \subseteq B(V)$  for all open set  $V \in \Gamma$  and

$$(\forall x \in A(V))A(i)(x) = B(i)(x)$$

whenever  $i : U \rightarrow V$ .

## Theorem

If  $B$  is a sheaf, then there is a bijection between subsheaves of  $B$  and subobjects of  $B$  in  $\mathbf{Sheaf}(\Gamma)$ .

## Definition

We let  $1_\Gamma$  be the unique sheaf on  $\Gamma$  such that  $|1_\Gamma(U)| = 1$  for all  $U \in \Gamma$ . We call  $1_\Gamma$  the *terminal sheaf*

## Lemma

For any sheaf  $A$  on  $\Gamma$  there is a unique map from  $A$  to  $1_\Gamma$ .

## Definition

We let  $1_\Gamma$  be the unique sheaf on  $\Gamma$  such that  $|1_\Gamma(U)| = 1$  for all  $U \in \Gamma$ . We call  $1_\Gamma$  the *terminal sheaf*

## Lemma

For any sheaf  $A$  on  $\Gamma$  there is a unique map from  $A$  to  $1_\Gamma$ .

## Lemma

$(\Gamma, \leq)$  is isomorphic to  $(\text{Sub}_{\text{Sheaf}(\Gamma)}(1_\Gamma), \leq)$

## Definition

Suppose we have two maps  $f, g : A \rightarrow B$  of sheaves. The *equalizer* of  $f$  and  $g$  ( $eq(f, g)$ ) is the subsheaf

$$eq(f, g)(U) = \{x \in A(U) : f(x) = g(x)\}$$

## Definition

Suppose we have a maps of sheaves  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and a subsheaf  $B' \subseteq B$ . We define the *pullback of  $B'$  along  $f$*  ( $f^{-1}[B']$ ) to be the subsheaf of  $A$  where

$$f^{-1}[B'](U) = \{x \in A(U) : f(x) \in B'(U)\}$$

## Definition

We say a separated presheaf  $A$  on  $\Gamma$  is *flabby* if

$$(\forall \gamma \in \Gamma^{op})(\forall a \in A(\gamma))(\exists a' \in A(1))a'|_{\gamma} = a$$

We define **Flabby**( $\Gamma$ ) to be the full subcategory of **Sep**( $\Gamma$ ) whose objects are the flabby presheaves.

Likewise we define **FlabbySheaf**( $\Gamma$ ) to be the full subcategory of **Sheaf**( $\Gamma$ ) whose objects are flabby presheaves.

# Presheaves and $\Gamma$ -Ultrametric Spaces



# Equivalence of Flabby Presheaves

## Theorem

*There is an equivalence of categories between **Flabby**( $\Gamma$ ) and  $\Gamma^{op}\text{-UltMet}$ .*

# Equivalence of Flabby Presheaves

## Theorem

*There is an equivalence of categories between **Flabby**( $\Gamma$ ) and  $\Gamma^{op}$ -**UltMet**.*

In order to be consistent the names of all elements will be as in  $\Gamma$ . We will use a superscript  $^{op}$  to signify the corresponding notion in  $\Gamma^{op}$ . For example  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $a \geq^{op} b$  and  $1 = 0^{op}$ .

# Equivalence of Flabby Presheaves

## Theorem

*There is an equivalence of categories between **Flabby**( $\Gamma$ ) and  $\Gamma^{op}$ -**UltMet**.*

In order to be consistent the names of all elements will be as in  $\Gamma$ . We will use a superscript  $^{op}$  to signify the corresponding notion in  $\Gamma^{op}$ . For example  $a \leq b$  if and only if  $a \geq^{op} b$  and  $1 = 0^{op}$ .

Also, for simplicity, we will only show the object portion of the equivalence. I.e. we will show that there is a bijection between the objects of **Flabby**( $\Gamma$ ) and the objects of  $\Gamma^{op}$ -**UltMet**.

We will then leave it to the enthusiastic listener to confirm that this bijection extends to the maps as well (and hence is an equivalence of categories).

# Equivalence of Flabby Presheaves: Claim 1

## Claim

If  $(A, d_A)$  is an  $\Gamma^{op}$ -ultrametric space let

$$F(A)(\gamma) = \{B(a, \gamma) : a \in A\}$$

where  $B(a, \gamma)|_{\gamma^*} = B(a, \gamma^*)$  for all  $\gamma^* \leq \gamma$ . Then  $F(A)$  is a separated flabby presheaf on  $\Gamma$

## Proof.

First we need to confirm that restriction is well defined.

Suppose  $B(a, \gamma) = B(b, \gamma) \in F(A)(\gamma)$ ,  $\gamma^* \leq \gamma$  and  $x \in B(a, \gamma^*)$  (i.e.  $d_A(x, a) \leq^{op} \gamma^*$ ).

$d_A(a, b) \leq^{op} \gamma \leq^{op} \gamma^*$ , so  $d_A(x, b) \leq^{op} \gamma^*$  and  $x \in B(b, \gamma^*)$ .

Hence  $B(a, \gamma^*) = B(b, \gamma^*)$  and restriction is well defined. □

# Equivalence of Flabby Presheaves: Claim 1

## Proof.

To see that  $F(A)$  is flabby notice that if  $B(a, \gamma) \in F(A)(\gamma)$  then  $\{a\} = B(a, 0^{op}) \in F(A)(1)$  and  $B(a, 0^{op})|_{\gamma} = B(a, \gamma)$ .

To see  $F(A)$  is separated, suppose  $\gamma = \bigvee_{i \in I} \lambda_i$  and  $\mathbf{B} = \{B(x_i, \lambda_i) \in A^*(\lambda_i) : i \in I\}$  is such that  $B(x_i, \lambda_i)|_{\lambda_i \wedge \lambda_j} = B(x_i, \lambda_i \wedge \lambda_j) = B(x_j, \lambda_i \wedge \lambda_j) = B(x_j, \lambda_j)|_{\lambda_i \wedge \lambda_j}$ .

i.e.  $\mathbf{B}$  is a compatible collection of elements. □

# Equivalence of Flabby Presheaves: Claim 1

Proof.

Case 1:  $\bigcap_{i \in I} B(x_i, \lambda_i) = \emptyset$

In this case there is no element in  $F(A)(\gamma)$  compatible with  $\mathbf{B}$ .

This is because any such element would have to be of the form  $B(x, \gamma)$  with  $B(x_i, \lambda_i) = B(x, \lambda_i)$  for all  $i \in I$ . But that would imply  $x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} B(x_i, \lambda_i) = \emptyset$ .

Case 2:  $(\exists x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} B(x_i, \lambda_i))$

We then have  $B(x, \gamma) = \bigcap_{i \in I} B(x_i, \lambda_i)$  and hence  $B(x, \gamma)$  is the unique element of  $F(A)(\gamma)$  compatible with  $\mathbf{B}$ . □

# Equivalence of Flabby Presheaves: Claim 2

## Claim

*If  $A$  is a flabby separated presheaf on  $\Gamma$  let  $E(A) = (A^\circ, d_A)$  be such that  $A^\circ = A(1)$  and  $d_A(a, b) = \bigvee \{\gamma : a|_\gamma = b|_\gamma\}$ . Then  $(A^\circ, d_A)$  is an  $\Gamma^{op}$ -ultrametric space.*

# Equivalence of Flabby Presheaves: Claim 2

## Claim

If  $A$  is a flabby separated presheaf on  $\Gamma$  let  $E(A) = (A^\circ, d_A)$  be such that  $A^\circ = A(1)$  and  $d_A(a, b) = \bigvee \{\gamma : a|_\gamma = b|_\gamma\}$ . Then  $(A^\circ, d_A)$  is an  $\Gamma^{op}$ -ultrametric space.

## Proof.

First notice  $a|_{d_A(a,b)} = b|_{d_A(a,b)}$  because  $\{a|_\gamma : \gamma \leq d_A(a, b)\}$  is a compatible collection of elements covering both  $a|_{d_A(a,b)}$  and  $b|_{d_A(a,b)}$ .

In particular this means that if  $d_A(a, b) = 0^{op}$  then  $a = a|_1 = b|_1 = b$ . So  $(A^\circ, d_A)$  satisfies (reflexivity). □



## Equivalence of Flabby Presheaves: Claim 2

Proof.

(symmetry) is immediate from the definition.

To see the (strong triangle inequality) holds let  $a, b, c \in A(1)$  with  $d_A(a, b) \leq^{op} \gamma$  and  $d_A(b, c) \leq^{op} \gamma$ .

Then  $a|_\gamma = b|_\gamma = c|_\gamma$  and hence  $d_A(a, c) \leq^{op} \gamma$ . □

# Equivalence of Flabby Presheaves: Claim 3

## Claim

For all  $A \in \text{obj}(\mathbf{Flabby}(\Gamma))$  there is a natural isomorphism  $\eta_A : A \Rightarrow F(E(A))$  which is the identity on  $A(1)$ .

For all  $(B, d_B) \in \text{obj}(\Gamma^{\text{op}}\text{-}\mathbf{UltMet})$  there is a natural isomorphism  $\varepsilon_B : (B, d_B) \Rightarrow E(F(B, d_B))$ .

## Proof.

For all  $A \in \text{obj}(\mathbf{Flabby}(\Gamma))$  we let  $\eta_A = \text{id}$ .

If  $(A', d_{A'}) = E(F(A, d_A))$  then  $A' = \{\{a\} : a \in A\}$  and we can let  $\varepsilon_A(x) = \{x\}$  □

It is easy to see that  $\eta, \varepsilon$  are natural isomorphisms and hence  $E$  and  $F$  are equivalences of categories.

## Theorem (\*)

*The equivalence of the theorem above restricts to an equivalence of categories between **FlabbySheaf**( $\Gamma$ ) and **SComp**( $\Gamma^{op}$ ).*

# Spherically Completeness and Flabby Sheaves

## Claim

*If  $(A, d_A)$  is a spherically complete  $\Gamma^{\text{op}}$ -ultrametric space then  $F(A)$  is a sheaf.*

## Proof.

Let  $I = \langle \gamma_j : j < \kappa \rangle \subseteq \Gamma$  with  $\langle a_i : i \in I \rangle$  be a compatible collection of elements of  $F(A)$ .

Notice that without loss of generality we can assume that if  $\gamma \in I$  and  $\gamma' < \gamma$ , then  $\gamma' \in I$ . □

## Proof.

By definition there are  $x_i$  such that  $a_i = B(x_i, \gamma_i)$ . We define  $B_i$  by induction.

- $B_0 = B(x_0, \gamma_0)$ .
- $B_{\alpha+1} = B(x_{\gamma_{\alpha+1}}, \gamma_{\alpha+1}) \cap B_\alpha$
- $B_{\omega \cdot \beta} = \bigcap_{j < \omega \cdot \beta} B_j$ .

We now want to show  $(\forall I < \kappa, \lambda \leq \kappa) B(x_{\gamma_I}, \gamma_I) \cap B_\lambda \neq \emptyset$ . □

## Proof.

To get a contradiction assume  $j$  is least such that  $B(x_{\gamma_I}, \gamma_I) \cap B_j = \emptyset$  for some  $I < \kappa$ . We break into three cases.

### Case 1: $j = 0$

This case can't happen because  $\langle a_i : i \in I \rangle$  is a compatible collection of elements and hence the  $a_i$ 's are closed under intersection.

### Case 2: $j = \omega \cdot \beta$ .

Notice that  $B_r \subseteq B_s$  if  $s \leq r < \omega \cdot \beta$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} B(x_{\gamma_I}, \gamma_I) \cap B_{\omega \cdot \beta} &= B(x_{\gamma_I}, \gamma_I) \cap \bigcap_{h < \omega \cdot \beta} B_h \\ &= \bigcap_{h < \omega \cdot \beta} (B(x_{\gamma_I}, \gamma_I) \cap B_h) \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

But  $B(x_{\gamma_I}, \gamma_I) \cap B_h \neq \emptyset$  by the inductive hypothesis and  $\langle B(x_{\gamma_I}, \gamma_I) \cap B_h : h < \omega \cdot \beta \rangle$  is a decreasing sequence of balls.

Hence  $\bigcap_{h < \omega \cdot \beta} B(x_{\gamma_I}, \gamma_I) \cap B_h \neq \emptyset$  because  $(A, d_A)$  is spherically complete. So this case can't happen. □

Proof.

Case 3:  $j = \alpha + 1$ .

$$B(x_{\gamma_I}, \gamma_I) \cap B_{\alpha+1} = B(x_{\gamma_I}, \gamma_I) \cap (B(x_{\gamma_\alpha}, \gamma_\alpha) \cap B_{\alpha+1}) = \\ B(x_{\gamma_I \wedge \gamma_\alpha}, \gamma_I \wedge \gamma_\alpha) \cap B_\alpha \neq \emptyset.$$

So this case can't happen and we have our contradiction.

We therefore have  $B_\kappa = \bigcap_{i < \kappa} B_i \neq \emptyset$  and so  $B_\kappa = B(x, \bigvee I)$  for any  $x \in B_\kappa$ .

Hence  $B_\kappa$  is the unique element of  $F(A)(\bigvee I)$  which is covered by  $\langle (a_i, i) : i \in I \rangle$ .

So, because  $\langle a_i : i \in I \rangle$  was arbitrary,  $F(A)$  is a sheaf. □



# Spherically Completeness and Flabby Sheaves

## Claim

*If  $A$  is a flabby sheaf on  $\Gamma$  then  $(A^\circ, d_A)$  is a spherically complete  $\Gamma^{op}$ -ultrametric space.*

## Proof.

Suppose

- $\{\gamma_i : i < \kappa\} \subseteq \Gamma^{op}$  and  $\{x_i : i < \kappa\} \subseteq A$
- $B^{A^\circ}(\gamma_i, x_i) \subseteq B^{A^\circ}(\gamma_j, x_j)$  if  $i \geq j$ .



Proof.

Whenever  $j \leq i$  we then have  $x_j \in B^{A^\circ}(\gamma_j, x_j)$  and  $\gamma_i \leq \gamma_j$  and so  $x_j|_{\gamma_i} = x_i|_{\gamma_i}$ .

Hence  $(\forall i, j < \kappa) x_j|_{\gamma_i \wedge \gamma_j} = x_i|_{\gamma_i \wedge \gamma_j}$  and  $\langle x_i|_{\zeta} : i < \kappa, \zeta \leq \gamma_i \rangle$  is a compatible collection of elements.

But because  $A$  is a sheaf there is an  $y \in A(\bigvee_{i < \kappa} \gamma_i)$  covered by  $\langle x_i|_{\zeta} : i < \kappa, \zeta \leq \gamma_i \rangle$ . □

Proof.

Further, because  $A$  is a flabby sheaf we know there is an  $x^* \in A(1) = A^\circ$  such that  $x^*|_{\bigvee_{i < \kappa} \gamma_i} = y$ .

So for all  $i < \kappa$ ,  $x^*|_{\gamma_i} = x_i|_{\gamma_i}$  hence  $x^* \in B^{A^\circ}(\gamma_i, x_i)$  and  $x^* \in \bigcap_{i < \kappa} B^{A^\circ}(\gamma_i, x_i) \neq \emptyset$ .

So  $(A^\circ, d_A)$  is spherically complete (as our sequence of balls was arbitrary). □

# Flabby Presheaves has a Right Adjoint

Now that we have a way to describe the category of  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric spaces it is natural to ask what properties this category has.

# Flabby Presheaves has a Right Adjoint

Now that we have a way to describe the category of  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric spaces it is natural to ask what properties this category has.

## Theorem

*The inclusion map  $i : \mathbf{Flabby}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sep}(\Gamma)$  has a right adjoint  $r$ .*

## Proof.

If  $A \in \text{obj}(\mathbf{Sep}(\Gamma))$ , let  $r(A)(\gamma) = \{x \in A : (\exists y \in A(1))y|_\gamma = x\}$ .

It is not hard to see that this extends to a functor and that this functor is the right adjoint to the identity.  $\square$

# Flabby Presheaves has a Right Adjoint

Now that we have a way to describe the category of  $\Gamma$ -ultrametric spaces it is natural to ask what properties this category has.

## Theorem

*The inclusion map  $i : \mathbf{Flabby}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sep}(\Gamma)$  has a right adjoint  $r$ .*

## Proof.

If  $A \in \text{obj}(\mathbf{Sep}(\Gamma))$ , let  $r(A)(\gamma) = \{x \in A : (\exists y \in A(1))y|_\gamma = x\}$ .

It is not hard to see that this extends to a functor and that this functor is the right adjoint to the identity.  $\square$

Notice though that  $r$  does not restrict to an adjunction for the inclusion map  $i : \mathbf{FlabbySheaf}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sheaf}(\Gamma)$  because even if  $A$  is a sheaf, there is no guarantee that  $r(A)$  will be as well.

# Contracting Maps

## Definition

We say a map  $a : A \rightarrow B$  of  $\Gamma$ -Ultrametric spaces is *contracting* if

$$(\forall x, y \in A) x \neq y \rightarrow d(a(x), a(y)) < d(x, y)$$

As we will see, these will play an important role in the study of two player games.



# Contracting Maps

## Theorem

*If  $a : A \rightarrow B$  is a contracting map and  $b : B \rightarrow D$  is any map, then  $b \circ a : A \rightarrow D$  is a contracting map.*

## Proof.

Suppose  $x, y \in A$  are such that  $x \neq y$ .

Then  $d(b \circ a(x), b \circ a(y)) \leq d(a(x), a(y)) < d(x, y)$

Hence  $b \circ a$  is contracting. □

# Contracting Maps

## Theorem

*If  $a : A \rightarrow B$  is a contracting map and  $e : E \rightarrow A$  is any map, then  $a \circ e$  is a contracting map.*

## Proof.

Suppose  $x, y \in A$  are such that  $x \neq y$ .

Then  $d(a \circ e(x), a \circ e(y)) < d(e(x), e(y)) \leq d(x, y)$

Hence  $a \circ e$  is contracting. □

# Fixed Point Theorem

## Theorem (Priess-Crampe, Ribeubois (\*))

*Suppose  $A$  is a spherically complete ultrametric space and  $a : A \rightarrow A$  is a contracting map. Then there is a unique element  $x \in A$  such that  $a(x) = x$ . We call  $x$  the fixed point of  $a$ .*

## Proof.

We will prove the existence of a fixed point  $x$  by repeated applying the contracting map  $a$ . Define  $x_\alpha \in A$  and  $Dist_\alpha \in \Gamma$  as follows

- $x_0$  is any point in  $A$ ,  $Dist_1 = 1$
- $x_{\alpha+1} = a(x_\alpha)$  and  $Dist_{\alpha+1} = d(x_\alpha, x_{\alpha+1})$
- $Dist_{\omega \cdot \gamma} = \bigwedge_{i < \omega} Dist_i$  and  $x_{\omega \cdot \gamma} \in \bigcap_{i < \omega \cdot \gamma} B(x_i, \gamma_i)$  is any element in the intersection of the  $B(x_i, \gamma_i)$

Notice that for any  $\omega \cdot \gamma$ ,  $\bigcap_{i < \omega \cdot \gamma} B(x_i, \gamma_i)$  is non-empty as  $A$  is spherically complete, and hence  $x_{\omega \cdot \gamma}$  is well defined. □

# Fixed Point Theorem

## Claim

For all  $\alpha < \beta \in \text{Ord}$ ,  $\text{Dist}_\alpha \geq \text{Dist}_\beta$

## Proof.

First notice that if  $\beta = \omega \cdot \gamma$  then (trivially)  $(\forall \alpha < \beta) \text{Dist}_\alpha \geq \text{Dist}_\beta$

So it suffices to show that for all  $\alpha$ ,  $\text{Dist}_\alpha \geq \text{Dist}_{\alpha+1}$ . This breaks into two cases.

Case 1:  $\alpha = \alpha^* + 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Dist}_{\alpha+1} &= d(x_\alpha, x_{\alpha+1}) = d(x_\alpha, a(x_\alpha)) \\ &= d(x_{\alpha^*+1}, a(x_{\alpha^*+1})) = d(a(x_{\alpha^*}), a(a(x_{\alpha^*}))) \\ &< d(x_{\alpha^*}, a(x_{\alpha^*})) = d(x_{\alpha^*}, x_{\alpha^*+1}) \\ &= \text{Dist}_{\alpha^*+1} = \text{Dist}_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

# Fixed Point Theorem

Proof.

Case 2:  $\alpha = \omega \cdot \gamma$

For all  $\zeta < \alpha$  we have  $B(x_\alpha, \text{Dist}_\zeta) = B(x_\zeta, \text{Dist}_\zeta)$ . So in particular we have  $d(x_\alpha, x_\zeta) \leq \text{Dist}_\zeta$  and hence

$$\begin{aligned}d(x_{\alpha+1}, x_{\zeta+1}) &= d(a(x_\alpha), a(x_\zeta)) \\ &\leq d(x_\alpha, x_\zeta) \\ &\leq \text{Dist}_\zeta\end{aligned}$$

But this means that  $x_{\alpha+1} \in B(x_\alpha, \text{Dist}_\zeta)$  for all  $\zeta < \alpha$ .

Therefore  $\text{Dist}_{\alpha+1} = d(x_\alpha, x_{\alpha+1}) \leq \bigwedge_{\zeta < \alpha} \text{Dist}_\zeta = \text{Dist}_\alpha$  □

# Fixed Point Theorem

Proof.

Therefore there is some  $\alpha$  such that  $Dist_{\alpha+1} = Dist_{\alpha+2}$  and hence such that  $d(x_\alpha, x_{\alpha+1}) = d(x_{\alpha+1}, x_{\alpha+2}) = d(a(x_\alpha), a(x_{\alpha+1}))$ .

But, as  $a$  is contracting, this can only happen if  $x_\alpha = x_{\alpha+1} = a(x_\alpha)$  and hence if  $x_\alpha$  is a fixed point of  $a$ .

# Fixed Point Theorem

Proof.

Therefore there is some  $\alpha$  such that  $Dist_{\alpha+1} = Dist_{\alpha+2}$  and hence such that  $d(x_\alpha, x_{\alpha+1}) = d(x_{\alpha+1}, x_{\alpha+2}) = d(a(x_\alpha), a(x_{\alpha+1}))$ .

But, as  $a$  is contracting, this can only happen if  $x_\alpha = x_{\alpha+1} = a(x_\alpha)$  and hence if  $x_\alpha$  is a fixed point of  $a$ .

Now suppose  $x, y$  are both fixed points of  $a$ . Then we have  $d(x, y) = d(a(x), a(y))$  and so  $x = y$  as  $a$  is contracting. Hence our fixed point is unique. □

## Corollary (\*)

Suppose  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a map of flabby sheaves on  $\Gamma$  such that  $E(f)$  is a contracting map. Then there is a unique  $x \in A(1)$  such that  $f(x) = x$ .

## Definition

If  $f : A \rightarrow A$  is a map of flabby sheaves and  $f(x) = x$  for  $x \in A(1)$ , then we say  $x$  is a *fixed point* of  $f$



# Infinite Two Player Games

# Two Player Games

## Definition

An *infinite two player game*,  $G_{A,B}(W)$ , consists of the following

- A set  $A$  of moves for Player I
- A set  $B$  of moves for Player II
- A set  $W \subseteq A^\omega \times B^\omega$  of winning conditions for Player I

## Definition

A *run* of a game  $G_{A,B}(W)$  consists of an infinite sequence  $r = \langle (a_i, b_i) : i \in \omega \rangle \in A^\omega \times B^\omega$ .

We say that Player I *wins a run*  $r$  if  $r \in W$ . We say Player II wins  $r$  otherwise.

# Strategies For Two Player Games

## Definition

A *Strategy for Player II* in  $G_{A,B}(W)$  is a function  $\tau : A^{<\omega} \rightarrow B^{<\omega}$ . I.e. given an initial segment of moves Player I has made,  $\tau$  tells Player II how to play. We also call this a *Type II* strategy

A *Strategy for Player I* in  $G_{A,B}(W)$  is a function  $\sigma : B^{<\omega} \rightarrow A^{<\omega}$  such that  $\sigma(\langle b_i : i \in n \rangle)$  only depends on  $\langle b_i : i \in n - 1 \rangle$ . I.e. given an initial segment of moves Player II has made,  $\sigma$  tells Player I how to play. However, as Player I played first, on the  $n$ th round he only has access to the first  $n-1$  moves of Player II. We also call this a *Type I* strategy.

# Strategies For Two Player Games

## Lemma

*If  $\sigma$  is a strategy for Player I in  $G_{A,B}(W)$ , then  $\sigma$  is also a strategy for Player II in  $G_{B,A}(A^\omega \times B^\omega - W)$*

## Definition

A *Type II Strategy* for Player I in  $G_{A,B}(W)$  is a map  $\sigma$  which is a strategy for Player II in  $G_{B,A}(A^\omega \times B^\omega - W)$

## Definition

Suppose

- $\sigma$  is a Type II strategy for Player I in  $G_{A,B}(W)$
- $\tau$  is a Type II strategy for Player II in  $G_{A,B}(W)$ .
- $r = \langle (a_i, b_i) : i \in \omega \rangle \in (A \times B)^\omega$  is a run of  $G_{A,B}(W)$ .

then we say

- $r$  is according to  $\sigma$  if  $(\forall n) a_n = \sigma(\langle b_i : i \leq n \rangle)$
- $r$  is according to  $\tau$  if  $(\forall n) b_n = \tau(\langle a_i : i \leq n \rangle)$

## Definition

Let  $\hat{\omega} = \omega \cup \{\infty\}$ .

## Theorem

*There is a sheaf  $\mathcal{N}$  on  $\hat{\omega}$  such that*

- $\mathcal{N}(\infty) = \omega^\omega$
- *For every subset  $A \subseteq \omega^\omega$  there is a subpresheaf  $A^*$  of  $\mathcal{N}$  which is a sheaf if and only if  $A$  is closed.*
- *For every subpresheaf  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a sheaf if and only if  $\mathcal{A}(\infty)$  is closed.*

## Theorem

Suppose  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are the sheaves on  $\hat{\omega}$  with  $\mathcal{A}(\infty) = A^\omega$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\infty) = B^\omega$ . Then

- A Type II strategy,  $\sigma$ , for Player I in  $G_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(W)$  is the same as a map of sheaves  $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$
- A Type II strategy,  $\tau$ , for Player II in  $G_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(W)$  is the same as a map of sheaves  $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$

## Theorem

Suppose  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$  are the sheaves on  $\hat{\omega}$  with  $\mathcal{A}(\infty) = A^\omega$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\infty) = B^\omega$ . Then

- A Type II strategy,  $\sigma$ , for Player I in  $G_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(W)$  is the same as a map of sheaves  $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$
- A Type II strategy,  $\tau$ , for Player II in  $G_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}}(W)$  is the same as a map of sheaves  $\tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$

This suggests the question:

“How can we characterize what it means for a sheaf map to be a strategy for Player I?”



## Lemma

*Suppose*

- $\sigma$  is a Type I strategy for Player I
- $\tau$  is a Type II strategies for Player II

*Then there is a unique run  $\sigma * \tau$  according to both  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ .*

## Lemma

*Suppose*

- $\sigma$  is a Type II strategy for Player I
- For all Type II strategies,  $\tau$ , for Player II there is a unique run  $\sigma * \tau$  according to both  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$

*Then  $\sigma$  is a Type I strategy.*

## Proof.

Suppose  $\sigma$  is as in the lemma. We will prove by induction that  $\sigma(\langle b_i : i \in n \rangle)$  doesn't depend on  $b_n$ .

### Base Case:

Suppose  $\sigma(\langle x \rangle) \neq \sigma(\langle y \rangle)$  for some  $x, y \in B$ . Then let  $\tau(\sigma(x)) = x$  and  $\tau(z) = y$  for all  $z \in A - \{\sigma(x)\}$ . Further let  $\tau(\mathbf{a}) = x$  for all  $\mathbf{a} \in A^n$ . We then have the following two sequences

$$\langle (\sigma(x), x), (\sigma(xx), xx), \dots \rangle$$

and

$$\langle (\sigma(y), y), (\sigma(yx), yx), \dots \rangle$$

are two runs according to  $\sigma$  and  $\tau \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ . □

Proof.

Inductive Case:

Assume that for  $n < m$ ,  $\sigma(\langle b_i : i \in n \rangle)$  only depends on  $\langle b_i : i \in n-1 \rangle$  but there are  $x, y \in B$  and  $\langle b_i : i \in m-1 \rangle \in B^{m-1}$  such that

$$\sigma(\langle b_i : i \in m-1 \rangle x) \neq \sigma(\langle b_i : i \in m-1 \rangle y)$$

This then reduces to the base case by letting  $\tau$  return  $\langle b_i : i \in m-1 \rangle$  on the first  $m-1$  moves no matter what  $\sigma$  does. □

Now suppose we want to extend this notion of an infinite two player game to where the length of a game is no longer  $\omega$  but is instead an arbitrary complete (linearly ordered) lattice  $L$ . We then have the following definitions

## Definition

A two player game on sheaves in  $\mathbf{Sheaf}(L)$ ,  $G_{A,B}(W)$ , consists of the following

- A flabby sheaf  $A$  of moves for Player I
- A flabby sheaf  $B$  of moves for Player II
- A set  $W \subseteq A \times B(1)$  of winning conditions for Player I

## Definition

- A *(Type II) Strategy for Player I* in  $G_{A,B}(W)$  is a map of sheaves  $\sigma : B \rightarrow A$
- A *(Type II) Strategy for Player II* in  $G_{A,B}(W)$  is a map of sheaves  $\tau : A \rightarrow B$ .

## Definition

A *run* of a game  $G_{A,B}(W)$  consists of a map  $1 \rightarrow A \times B$ . Or equivalently an element of  $A \times B(1)$ .

- If  $\sigma : B \rightarrow A$  is a Type II strategy for Player I
- If  $\tau : A \rightarrow B$  is a Type II strategy for Player II

We say that

- $r$  is according to  $\sigma$  if  $r = (\sigma(b), b)$  for some  $b \in B(1)$ .
- $r$  is according to  $\tau$  if  $r = (a, \tau(a))$  for some  $a \in A(1)$ .

In particular  $r = (a, b)$  is according to  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  if  $a = \sigma(\tau(a))$  and  $b = \tau(\sigma(b))$

# Type I Strategies For Sheaf Games

## Definition

We say a strategy  $\sigma$  for Player I is a *Type I Strategy* if for all (Type II) strategies  $\tau$  for Player II, there is a unique run  $\sigma * \tau$  which is according to both  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ .



## Definition

We say a strategy  $\sigma$  for Player I is a *Type I Strategy* if for all (Type II) strategies  $\tau$  for Player II, there is a unique run  $\sigma * \tau$  which is according to both  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ .

This suggests the questions:

- For what linear orders do Type I strategies exist?
- When they do exist how can we recognize/characterize Type I strategies?

# Type I Strategies and Contracting Maps

## Theorem

*A strategy  $\sigma : B \rightarrow A$  is of Type I if and only if  $E(\sigma)$  is a contracting map.*

## Proof.

$\Leftarrow$ :

If  $E(\sigma)$  is contracting then for all maps  $\tau$ ,  $E(\sigma \circ \tau) = E(\sigma) \circ E(\tau)$  and  $E(\tau \circ \sigma) = E(\tau) \circ E(\sigma)$  are contracting maps. Therefore, as  $A, B$  are flabby sheaves, we have  $\sigma \circ \tau$  has a unique fixed point  $a \in A(1)$  and  $\tau \circ \sigma$  has a unique fixed point  $b \in B(1)$ .

But we also have that  $\sigma(b)$  is a fixed point of  $\sigma \circ \tau$  and  $\tau(a)$  is a fixed point of  $\tau \circ \sigma$ . Hence  $a = \sigma(b)$  and  $b = \tau(a)$ . So  $(a, b)$  is the unique run according to  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ .  $\square$

# Type I Strategies and Contracting Maps

For this part of the proof we will identify maps  $\sigma, \tau$  with their images under  $E$

Proof.

$\Rightarrow$ :

Suppose  $\sigma$  is a strategy of type Type I and  $\sigma$  is not contracting. Then there are  $b_0, b_1 \in E(B) = B(1)$  such that  $d(\sigma(b_0), \sigma(b_1)) = d(b_0, b_1)$ .

Let  $\tau : E(A) \rightarrow E(B)$  be the map such that  $\tau(x) = b_0$  if  $d(x, b_0) \leq d(\sigma(b_0), \sigma(b_1))$  and  $\tau(x) = b_1$  otherwise.

We then have  $\tau(\sigma(b_0)) = b_0$  and  $\tau(\sigma(b_1)) = b_1$ .

Therefore  $\tau \circ \sigma$  is not contracting and hence  $\sigma$  is not contracting.

$\Rightarrow \Leftarrow$



# Sets of Maps as $\Gamma$ -Ultrametric Spaces

# Generating Set and Hom Sets

We will now show that in many categories the Hom sets (i.e. sets of the form  $C[A, B]$  where  $A, B \in \text{obj}(C)$ ) can be viewed as generalized ultrametric spaces.

## Definition

Let  $C$  be a category with  $G \subseteq \text{obj}(C)$  and let  $P(G, A) = \text{Powerset}(\bigcup_{X \in G} C[X, A])$ .

For every  $A, B \in \text{obj}(C)$  define  $d_G : C[A, B] \times C[A, B] \rightarrow P(G, A)$  as follows:

- $d_G(f, g) = \{h : X \rightarrow A \text{ such that } f \circ h = g \circ h\}$ .

For every  $k : B \rightarrow D$  we define a map

$k_! : (C[A, B], d_G) \rightarrow (C[A, D], d_G)$  by  $k_!(g) = k \circ g$

## Definition

Suppose  $C$  is a category and  $G \subseteq \text{obj}(C)$ . We say that  $G$  *generates*  $C$  if

$$(\forall f, g \in C[A, B])(\exists X \in G, h \in C[X, A]) f \neq g \rightarrow f \circ h \neq g \circ h$$

## Definition

Suppose  $C$  is a category and  $G \subseteq \text{obj}(C)$ . We say that  $G$  *generates*  $C$  if

$$(\forall f, g \in C[A, B])(\exists X \in G, h \in C[X, A]) f \neq g \rightarrow f \circ h \neq g \circ h$$

## Theorem

*If  $G$  is a generating set of objects for  $C$  then  $(C[A, B], d_G)$  is a  $P(G, A)$ -ultrametric space (where  $\alpha \leq \beta$  if and only if  $\beta \subseteq \alpha$  and  $0 = \bigcup_{X \in G} C[X, A]$ ). Further if  $f : B \rightarrow D$  then each map  $f_!$  is non-expanding.*

Proof.

First lets show that  $(C[A, B], d_G)$  is a  $P(G, A)$ -ultrametric space.

(Symmetry) is immediate.

For (Reflexivity) notice that because  $G$  is a generating set of objects if  $f, g \in C[A, B]$  and  $f \neq g$  then there is some  $a : X \rightarrow A$  with  $X \in G$  such that  $f \circ a \neq g \circ a$ .

In particular if  $f \neq g$  then  $d_G(f, g) \neq 0$ . □



## Proof.

To show the strong triangle inequality suppose  $f, g, h \in C[A, B]$ .

Whenever  $a : D \rightarrow A$  where  $a \in d_G(f, g) \cap d_G(g, h)$  we have  $f \circ a = g \circ a = h \circ a$  and hence  $a \in d_G(f, h)$ .

Therefore  $d_G(f, g) \cap d_G(g, h) \subseteq d_G(f, h)$  or equivalently  $d_G(f, g) \vee d_G(g, h) \geq d_G(f, h)$ .

To see that any  $k_i$  is a non-expanding map notice that if  $a \in d_G(f, g)$  then  $f \circ a = g \circ a$  and hence  $k \circ f \circ a = k \circ g \circ a$  and  $a \in d(k \circ f, k \circ g) = d(k_i(f), k_i(g))$ .

So  $d(k_i(f), k_i(g)) \supseteq d(f, g)$  or equivalently  $d(k_i(f), k_i(g)) \leq d(f, g)$ . □

## Corollary

If  $C$  is a category and  $G$  is a generating set then for each  $A \in \text{obj}(C)$  there are functor  $U_{G,A} : C \rightarrow P(G, A)\text{-UltrMet}$  given by

- $(\forall B \in \text{obj}(C)) U_{G,A}(B) = (C[A, B], d_G)$
- $(\forall k \in C[B, D]) U_{G,A}(k) = k!$

## Proof.

This follows from the fact that  $k! \circ j! = (k \circ j)!$ . □

# Subobjects and $\Gamma$ -Ultrametric Spaces

If our categories have epi-mono factorizations then, up to isomorphism in **GenUltMet**, our choice of generating set doesn't matter in determining the generalized ultrametric space structure put on Hom sets of the category.

## Definition

Suppose  $C$  is a category with epi-mono factorizations.

Let  $P(Sub_C) = Powerset(Sub_C(A))$ .

For every  $A, B \in \text{obj}(C)$  define  $d_S : C[A, B] \times C[A, B] \rightarrow P(S)$  as  $d_S(f, g) = \{[h] : f \circ h = g \circ h\}$ .

For every  $k : B \rightarrow D$  we define a map  $k_! : (C[A, B], d_S) \rightarrow (C[A, D], d_S)$  by  $k_!(g) = k \circ g$

## Theorem

*If  $G \subseteq \text{obj}(C)$  then for each  $A, B \in \text{obj}(C)$ , and  $f, g, x, y \in C[A, B]$  we have*

- (a)  $d_S(x, y) \leq d_S(f, g) \Rightarrow d_G(x, y) \leq d_G(f, g)$ .
- (b) *If  $G$  is a generating set for  $C$  then*  
 $d_G(x, y) \leq d_G(f, g) \Rightarrow d_S(x, y) \leq d_S(f, g)$ .

# Equivalence of Structure on Hom Sets

Proof.

Part (a):

Suppose  $a : D \rightarrow A$  with  $D \in G$ .

$a$  then has an epi-mono factorization  $a = a_m \circ a_e$ .

Now  $a \in d_G(x, y)$  if and only if  $x \circ (a_m \circ a_e) = y \circ (a_m \circ a_e)$  if and only if  $x \circ a_m = y \circ a_m$  if and only if  $[a_m] \in d_S(x, y)$  (the second to last equivalence follows because  $a_e$  is an epimorphism).

So if  $[a_m] \in d_S(x, y) \rightarrow [a_m] \in d_S(f, g)$  then  $a \in d_G(x, y) \rightarrow a \in d_G(f, g)$ . Hence  $d_S(x, y) \leq d_S(f, g)$  implies  $d_G(x, y) \leq d_G(f, g)$ . □

## Proof.

### Part (b):

To get a contradiction suppose

- $G$  is a generating set for  $C$
- $d_G(x, y) \leq d_G(f, g)$
- $a : D \rightarrow A$  with  $[a] \in d_S(f, g)$
- $[a] \notin d_S(x, y)$

Then  $x \circ a \neq y \circ p$  and hence there must be a map  $p : D \rightarrow B$  such that  $x \circ a \circ p \neq y \circ a \circ p$  and  $D \in G$ .

So  $a \circ p \notin d_G(x, y)$  and hence by assumption  $a \circ p \notin d_G(f, g)$  and  $f \circ a \circ p \neq g \circ a \circ p$ . But  $f \circ a = g \circ a$  (by definition of  $[a] \in d_S(f, g)$ ) and so  $f \circ a \circ p = g \circ a \circ p \Rightarrow \Leftarrow$ . □

## Corollary

If  $G$  is a generating set for  $C$  and  $A, B \in \text{obj}(C)$  then  $(C[A, B], d_S)$  is isomorphic to  $(C[A, B], d_G)$  in **GenUltMet**.

## Corollary

If  $C$  is a category then for each  $A \in \text{obj}(C)$  there is a functor  $U_{\text{Sub}, A} : C \rightarrow P(\text{Sub}, A)\text{-UltMet}$  given by

- $(\forall B \in \text{obj}(C)) U_{\text{Sub}, A}(B) = (C[A, B], d_S)$
- $(\forall k \in C[B, D]) U_{\text{Sub}, A}(k) = k_!$

## Theorem

*If*

- $E : \mathbf{Flabby}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{UltMet}(\Gamma^{op})$  is the equivalence of categories.
- $r : \mathbf{Sep}(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbf{Flabby}(\Gamma)$  is the right adjoint to the inclusion map.

*then there exists a natural isomorphism  $\eta : E \circ r \Rightarrow U_{Sub,1}$ .*

## Corollary (\*)

*Suppose  $A \in \text{obj}(\mathbf{Sep}(\Gamma))$  is flabby. Then  $(\mathbf{Sep}[1, A], d_S) \cong E(A)$ .*



## Corollary

Suppose  $A, B \in \mathbf{Sep}(\Gamma)$  and  $a : A \rightarrow B$ . Then  $a$  is contracting if and only if there exists

- $d : D \rightarrow 1_\Gamma$  a monic
- $x, y : 1_\Gamma \rightarrow A$

such that

- $x \circ d \neq y \circ d$
- $a \circ x \circ d = a \circ y \circ d$

# Thank You