

A NOTE ON GORENSTEIN INJECTIVE DIMENSION

LEILA KHATAMI

ABSTRACT. Suppose that M is a module over a commutative noetherian ring R . It is proved that the Gorenstein injective dimension of M , if finite, equals $\sup\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)\}$.

INTRODUCTION

In 1976, Chouinard gave a general formula for injective dimension of a module, when it is finite (cf. [1]).

Theorem. Let M be an R -module of finite injective dimension. Then

$$\text{inj.dim}_R M = \sup\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)\}.$$

Recall that for a module M over a local ring R , $\text{width}_R M$ is defined as the $\inf\{i \mid \text{Tor}_i^R(k, M) \neq 0\}$, where k is the residue field of R . This gives a general formula from which the so called Bass formula can be concluded, namely, the injective dimension of a finite module over a local ring is either infinite or equals the depth of the base ring.

Our theorem 1.2 extends the chouinard's formula for Gorenstein injective dimension.

Theorem. Let R be a noetherian ring and M an R -module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension. Then

$$\text{Gid}_R M = \sup\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)\}.$$

1. MAIN THEOREM

Definition 1.1. An R -module G is said to be Gorenstein injective if and only if there exists an exact complex of injective R -modules,

$$I = \cdots \rightarrow I_2 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_{-1} \rightarrow I_{-2} \rightarrow \cdots$$

such that the complex $\text{Hom}_R(J, I)$ is exact for every injective R -module J and G is the kernel in degree 0 of I . The Gorenstein injective dimension of an R -module M , $\text{Gid}_R(M)$, is defined to be the infimum of integers n such that there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G_{-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow G_{-n} \rightarrow 0$$

with all G_i 's Gorenstein injective.

Theorem 1.2. Let R be a commutative noetherian ring and M an R -module of finite Gorenstein injective dimension. Then

$$\text{Gid}_R(M) = \sup\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)\}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D05.

Key words and phrases. Depth, Gorenstein injective dimension.

Email: lkhatami@math.harvard.edu.

Proof. First assume that $\text{Gid}_R(M) = 0$. By definition, there is an exact sequence

$$E_\bullet : \cdots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

such that every E_i is injective. Set $K_i = \ker(E_{i-1} \rightarrow E_{i-2})$.

For any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ and every $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module T , we have $\text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(T, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i+t}(T, (K_t)_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for any two positive integers i and t . Hence using [2, 5.3(c)] we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sup\{i \mid \text{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(T, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0, \text{ for some } R_{\mathfrak{p}}\text{-module } T \text{ with } \text{proj.dim}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} T < \infty\} \\ &\geq \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, if \mathfrak{p} is such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = \dim_R M$ then, using [2, 5.3(c)] again, we get $\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$.

Therefore, $\sup\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)\} = 0$ for a Gorenstein injective module M .

Now assume that $n = \text{Gid}_R M > 0$. By [3, 2.16], there exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

where K is Gorenstein injective and $\text{inj.dim}_R L = \text{Gid}_R M = n$.

Thus

$$0 = \sup\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} K_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)\}.$$

On the other hand, by Chouinard's equality [?, 3.1], we have

$$\text{inj.dim}_R L = \sup\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)\}.$$

For any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, we denote $k(\mathfrak{p}) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then, for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow L_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow M_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow 0$ induces the long exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), L_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_{i-1}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), K_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

This sequence gives rise to the following inequalities.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} L_{\mathfrak{p}} &\geq \min\{\text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} K_{\mathfrak{p}}\} \text{ and} \\ \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} &\geq \min\{\text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} L_{\mathfrak{p}}, \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} K_{\mathfrak{p}} + 1\} \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ is such that $\text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} K_{\mathfrak{p}} > \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ then, by the mentioned inequalities, $\text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} L_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

But for any \mathfrak{p} with $\text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} K_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we also have $\text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} K_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} L_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} L_{\mathfrak{p}} &\leq \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} K_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 0 \text{ and} \\ \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} &\leq \text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} K_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gid}_R(M) &= \text{inj.dim}_R(L) = \\ &= \sup\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} L_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)\} = \\ &= \sup\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} L_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ with } \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} < \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} K_{\mathfrak{p}}\} = \\ &= \sup\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ with } \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} < \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} K_{\mathfrak{p}}\} = \\ &= \sup\{\text{depth } R_{\mathfrak{p}} - \text{width}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1.3. *Let M be an R -module and $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ prime ideals of R . If $\text{Gid}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} < \infty$ then*

$$\text{Gid}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq \text{Gid}_{R_{\mathfrak{q}}} M_{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

REFERENCES

1. L. G. Chouinard, *On finite weak and injective dimension*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **60** (1976), 57–60.
2. L. W. Christensen, H-B. Foxby and A. Frankild, *Restricted homological dimensions and Cohen-Macaulayness*, J. Algebra **251** (2002) (1), 479–502.
3. L. W. Christensen, A. Frankild, and H. Holm, *On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions-A functorial description with applications*, To appear in J. Algebra.

LEILA KHATAMI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, 1 OXFORD STREET,
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138